WILSON SPEECH OF ACCEPTANCE

Insists That the Whole People Be Consulted.

NATION'S AWAKENING

The Tariff Has Become a System of Favors-Should Be Gradually Reduced-High Schedules Responsible For Trusts and Cost of Living-Definition of Fundamental Democracy.

Mr. James and Gentlemen of the Notification Committee-Speaking for the national Democratic convention, recently assembled at Baltimore, you have notified me of my nomination by fice of president of the United States. Allow me to thank you very warmly for the generous terms in which you have, through your distinguished chairman, conveyed the notification and for the thoughtful personal couriesy with which you have performed your interesting and important errand.

I accept the nomination with a deep sense of its unusual significance and of the great honor done me and also with a very profound sense of my responsibility to the party and to the nation. You will expect me in accepting the honor to speak very plainly the faith that is in me. You will expect me, in brief, to talk politics and open the campaign in words whose meaning no one need doubt. You will expect me to speak to the country.

We cannot intelligently talk politics unless we know to whom we are talking and in what circumstances. The present circumstances are clearly unusual. No previous political campaign in our time has disclosed anything like them. The audience we address is in no ordinary temper. It is no audience of partisans. Citizens of every class and party and prepossession sit together, a single people, to learn whether we understand their life and know how to afford them the counsel and guidance they are now keenly aware that they stand in need of. We must speak not to catch votes, but to satisfy the thought and conscience of a people deeply stirred by the conviction that they have come to a critical turning point in their moral and political dewelopment.

- The Awakened Nation.

We stand in the presence of an awakened nation, impatient of partisan make believe. The public man who does not realize the fact and feel its stimulation must be singularly unsusceptible to the influences that stir uarter about bim.

Plainly, it is a new age. The tonic of such a time is very exhilarating. It requires self restraint not to attempt too much, and yet it would be cowardly to attempt too little.

it is in the broad light of this new day that we stand face to face-with what? Plainly not with questions of party, not with a contest for office, not with a petty struggle for advantage, Democrat against Republican, liberal against conservative, progressive against reactionary. With great questions of right and of justice, rather-questions of national development, of the development of character and of standards of action no less than of a better business system, more free, more equitable, more open to ordinary men, practicable to live under, tolerable to work under, or a better fiscal system whose taxes shall not come out of the pockets of the many to go into the pockets of the few and within whose intricacles special privilege may not so easily find

At such a time and in the presence of such circumstances what is the meaning of our platform and what is our responsibility under it? What are our duty and our purpose? The platform is meant to show that we know what the nation is thinking about, what it is most concerned about, what it wishes corrected and what it desires to see attempted that is new and constructive and intended for its long future. But for us it is a very practical document. We are not about to ask the people of the United States to adopt our platform. We are about to ask them to intrust us with office and power and the guidance of their affairs. They will wish to know what sort of men we are and of what definite purpose, what translation of action and of polfey we latend to give to the general terms of the platform which the contention at Baltimore put forth should we be elected.

The Work to Be Done.

The platform is not a program. A program must consist of measures, administrative acts and acts of legislation. The proof of the pudding is the eating thereof. How do we intend to make it edible and digestible? From this time on we shall be under interrogation. How do we expect to handle each of the great matters that must be taken up by the next congress and the next administration?

What is there to do? It is hard to sum the great task op, but apparently this is the sum of the matter: There are two great things to do. One is to set up the rule of justice and of right in such matters as the tariff, the regulation of the trusts and the prevention of monopoly, the adaptation of our banking and currency laws to the varied uses to which our people must put them, the treatment of those who do the daily labor in our factories and the light of it? I say nothing for the

mines and throughout all our great industrial and commercial undertakings. and the political life of the people of the Philippines, for whom we hold governmental power in trust, for their service, not our own. The other, the additional duty is the great task of protecting our people and our resources and of keeping open to the whole people the doors of opportunity through which they must, generation by generation, pass if they are to make conquest of their fortunes in health, in freedom, in peace and in contentment. In the performance of this second great duty we are face to face with questions of conservation and of development, questions of forests and water powers and mines and waterways, of the building of an adequate merchant marine, and the opening of every highway and facility, and the setting up of every safeguard needed by an industrious, expanding nation.

In Partnership With the People.

These are all great matters upon which everybody should be heard. We have got into trouble in recent years chiefly because these large things which ought to have been handled by the Democratic party for the high of taking counsel with as large a number of persons as possible, because they touched every interest and the life of every class and region, have In fact been too often handled in private conference. They have been settled by very small and often deliberately exclusive groups of men who undertook to speak for the whole nation, or, rather, for themselves in the terms of the whole nation-very honestly it may be, but very ignorantly sometimes, and very shortsightedly. too-a poor substitute for genuine common counsel. No group of directors, economic or political, can speak for a people. They have neither the point of view nor the knowledge. We need no revolution; we need no excited change; we need only a new point of view and a new method and spirit of counsel.

We are servants of the people, the whole people. The nation has been unnecessarily, unreasonably at war within itself. Interest has clashed with interest when there were common principles of right and of fair dealing which might and should have bound them all together, not as rivals, but as partners. As the servants of all we are bound to undertake the great duty of accommodation and ad-

justment. We cannot undertake it except in a spirit which some find it hard to understand. Some people only smile when you speak of yourself as a servant of the people; it seems to them like affectation or mere demagogy. They ask what the unthinking crowd knows or comprehends of great complicated matters of government. They shrug their shoulders and lift their eyebrows when you speak as if you really believed in presidential primaries, in the direct election of United States senators and in an utter publicity about everything that concerns government, from the sources of camthe highest affairs of state

The Public a Noble Whole.

They do not or will not comprehend the solemn thing that is in your thought. You know as well as they do that there are all sorts and conditions of men-the unthinking mixed with the wise, the reckless with the prudent, the unscrupulous with the fair and honest-and you know, what they sometimes forget, that every class, without exception, affords a sample of the mixture, the learned and the fortunate no less than the uneducated and the struggling mass. But you see more than they do. You see that these multitudes of men, mixed, of every kind and quality, constitute somehow an organic and noble whole. a single people, and that they have interests which no man can privately determine without their knowledge and counsel. That is the meaning of representative government itself.

You may think that I am wandering off into a general disquisition that has little to do with the business in hand, but I am not. This is business-business of the deepest sort. It will solve our difficulties if you will but take it as business.

The Tariff.

See how it makes business out of the tariff question. The tariff question as dealt with in our time at any rate has not been business. It has been politics. Tariff schedules have been made up for the purpose of keeping as large a number as possible of the rich and induential manufacturers of the country in a good humor with the Republican party, which desired their constant financial support. The tariff has become a system of favors, which the phraseology of the schedule was often deliberately contrived to conceal. It becomes a matter of business, of legitihate business, only when the partnership and understanding it represents are between the leaders of congress and the whole people of the United States instead of between the leaders of congress and small groups of manufacturers demanding special recognition and consideration. That is why the general idea of representative government becomes a necessary part of the tariff question. Who when you come down to the hard facts of the matter have been represented in recent years when our tariff schedules were being discussed and determined not on the floor of congress, for that is not where they have been determined, but in the committee rooms and conferences? That is the heart of the whole affair. Will you, can you, bring the whole people into the partnership or not? No one is discontented with representative government. It falls under question only when it ceases to be representative. It is at bottom a question of good faith and morals.

How does the present tariff look in

conceived and carried out as a disinterested statesman might conceive it. Our own clear conviction as Democrats is that in the last analysis the only safe and legitimate object of tariff duties, as of taxes of every other kind, the people of the United States, but is to raise revenue for the support of the government. But that is not my present point. We denounce the Payne-Aldrich tariff act as the most conspicuous example ever afforded the country of the special favors and monopolistic advantages which the leaders of the Republican party have so often shown themselves willing to extend to those to whom they looked for campaign contributions. Tariff duties, as they have employed them, have not been a means of setting up an equitable system of they wanted and knew how to get it protection. They have been, on the contrary, a method of fostering special privilege. They have made it easy to establish monopoly in our domestic markets. Trusts have owed their origin and their secure power to them.

No Sudden Disturbance.

We do not ignore the fact that the business of a country like ours is exceedingly sensitive to changes in legislation of this kind, It has been built up, however ill advisedly, upon tariff schedules written in the way I have indicated, and its foundation must not be too radically or too suddenly disturbed. When we act we should act with caution and prudence, like men who know what they are about and not like those in love with a theory. It is obvious that the changes we interfere with the normal and healthful course of commerce and manufacture. But we shall not on that account act with timidity, as if we did not know our own minds, for we are certain of our ground and of our object. There should be an immediate revision, and it should be downward, unhesitatingly and steadily downward.

It should begin with the schedules which have been most obviously used to kill competition and to raise prices in the United States, arbitrarily and without regard to the prices pertaining elsewhere in the markets of the world, and it should, before it is finished or intermitted, be extended to every item in every schedule which affords any opportunity for monopoly, for special advantage to limited groups of beneficiaries or for subsidized control of any kind in the markets or the enterprises of the country until special favors of every sort shall have been absolutely withdrawn and every part of our laws of taxation shall have governmental patronage into a system of just and reasonable charges which shall fall where they will create the least burden.

Tariff Demoralizes Politics.

There has been no more demoralizing influence in our politics to our time than the influence of tariff legislation, the influence of the idea that the government was the grand dispaign funds to the intimate debate of penser of favors, the maker and unmaker of fortunes, and of opportunities such as certain men have sought in order to control the movement of trade and industry throughout the continent. It has made the government a prize to be captured and parties the means of effecting the capture. It has made the business men of one of the most virile and enterprising na tions in the world timid, fretful, full of alarms; has robbed them of self confidence and manly force until they have cried out that they could do nothing without the assistance of the government at Washington. It has made them feel that their lives de pended upon the ways and means committee of the house and the finance committee of the senate (in these later years particularly the finance committee of the senate). They have insisted very anxiously that these commit tees should be made up only of their "friends" until the country in its turn grew suspicious and wondered how those committees were being guided and controlled, by what influences and plans of personal advantage. Government cannot be wholesomely conducted in such an atmosphere. Its very honesty is in jeopardy.

For what has the result been? Prosperity? Yes, if by prosperity you mean vast wealth, no matter how distributed, or whether distributed at all, or not; if you mean vast enterprises built up to be presently concentrated under the control of comparatively small bodies of men, who can determine almost at pleasure whether there shall be competition or not. The nation as a nation has grown immensely rich. But what of the other side of the picture? It is not as easy for us to live as it used to be. Our money will not buy as much. High wages, even when we can get them, yield us no great comfort.

Tariff Causes High Prices.

Moreover, we begin to perceive some things about the movement of prices that concern us very deeply and fix our attention upon the tariff schedules with more definite determination than ever to get to the bottom of this mat ter. We have been looking into it at trials held under the Sherman act and in investigations in the committee rooms of congress, where men who wanted to know the real facts have been busy with inquiry, and we begin to see very clearly what at least some are fixed. We know that they are not fixed by the competitions of the market or by the ancient law of supply and demand, which is to be found stated in marts of trade, on our farms and on all the primers of economics, but by what the supply should be and agreements among the producers themselves.

moment about the policy of protection these gentlemen get control of these things? Who handed our economic laws over to them for legislative and contractual alteration? We have in these disclosures still another view of the tariff, still another proof that not only a very small number of them. have been partners in that legislation.

The trusts do not belong to the period of infant industries. The are not the products of the time, that old inborious time, when the great continent we live on was undeveloped, the young nation struggling to find Itself and get upon its feet amidst older and more experienced competitors. They belong to a very recent and very sophisticated age, when men knew what by the favor of the government. It is another chapter in the natural history of power and of "governing classes." The next chapter will set us free again.

I am not one of those who think that competition can be established by law against the drift of a world wide economic tendency; neither am I one of those who believe that business done upon a great scale by a single organization-call it corporation or what you will-is necessarily dangerous to the libertles, even the economic liberties, of a great people like our own, full of intelligence and of indomitable energy. I am not afraid of anything that is normal. I dare say we shall never return to the old order of individual competition and that the make should be made only at such a organization of business upon a great rate and in such a way as will least scale of co-operation is, up to a certain the bankers, not because we distrust

point, itself normal and inevitable. Sherman Law Amendments.

Power in the hands of great bustness men does not make me apprehensive, unless it springs out of advantages which they have not created for themselves. Big buriness is not dangerous because it is big, but because its bigness is an unwholesome inflation created by privileges and exemptions which it ought not to enjoy.

The general terms of the present federal anti-trust law, forbidding "combinations in restraint of trade," have apparently proved ineffectual. Trusts have grown up under its ban very luxuriantly and have pursued the methods by which so many of them have established virtual monopolies without serious let or hindrance. It has roared against them like any sucking dove. I am not assessing the responsibility; I am merely stating the fact. But the means and methods by which trusts have established monopoffes have now become known. It will been transformed from a system of be necessary to supplement the present law with such laws, both civil and criminal, as will effectually punish and prevent those methods, adding such other laws as may be necessary to provide suitable and adequate judicial processes, whether civil or criminal, to disclose them and follow them to

final verdict and judgment. But the problem and the difficulty are much greater than that. There are not merely great trusts and combinations which are to be controlled and deprived of their power to create monopolies and destroy rivals. There is something bigger still than they are and more subtle, more evasive, more difficult to deal with. There are vast confederacies (as I may perhaps call them for the sake of convenience) of banks, railways, express companies, insurance companies, manufacturing corporations, mining corporations, power and development companies and all the rest of the circle, bound together by the fact that the ownership of their stock and the members of their boards of directors are controlled and determined by comparatively small and closely interrelated groups of persons who, by their informal confederacy, may control, if they please and when they will, both credit and enterprise. They are part of our problem. Their very existence gives rise to the suspicion of a "money trust," a concentration of the control of credit which may at any time become infinitely dangerous to free enterprise. If such a concentration and control do not actually exist it is evident that they can easily be set up and used at will. Laws must be devised which will prevent this, if laws can be worked out by fair and free counsel that will accomplish that result without destroying or seriously embarrassing any sound or legitimate business undertaking or necessary and wholesome

arrangement. The Labor Question.

Let me say again that what we are seeking is not destruction of any kind nor the disruption of any sound or honest thing, but merely the rule of right and of the common advantage. I am happy to say that a new spirit has begun to show itself in the last year or two among influential men of business and, what is perhaps even more significant, among the lawyers who are their expert advisers and that this spirit has displayed itself very notably in the last few months in an effort to return in some degree at any rate to the prac-

tices of genuine competition. If I am right about this, it is going to be easier to act in accordance with the rule of right and justice in dealing with the labor question. The so called labor question is a question only because we have not yet found the rule of right in adjusting the interests of labor and capital. The welfare, of the methods are by which prices the happiness, the energy and spirit of the men and women who do the daily work in our mines and factories. on our railroads, in our offices and the sea, are of the essence of our naprivate arrangements with regard to tional life. There can be nothing wholesome unless their life is whole some; there can be no contentment un-Those who buy are not even represent- less they are contented. Their physied by counsel. The high cost of living cal welfare affects the soundness of is arranged by private understanding. | the whole nation. We shall never get We naturally ask ourselves. How did very we in the settlement of these

vital matters so long as we regard can mag off the seas. DOLLICES are not by law or by private agreement, as a agitation and a disturbance of our peace. Here again the sense of universal partnership must come into as those who serve not a class, but a nation.

The working people of America-if minority that constitutes the rest of it-are, of course, the backbone of the nation. No law that safeguards their life, that improves the physical and moral conditions under which they live, that makes their hours of labor rational and tolerable, that gives them freedom to act in their own interest and that protects them where they cannot protect themselves can properly be regarded as class legislation or as anything but as a measure taken in the interest of the whole people, whose partnership in right action we are trying to establish and make real and practical. It is in this spirit that we shall act if we are genuine spekesmen of the whole country.

Currency Laws.

As our program is disclosed-for no man can forecast it ready made and before counsel is taken of every one concerned-this must be its measure and standard, the interest of all concerned. For example, in dealing with the complicated and difficult question of the reform of our banking and currency laws it is plain that we ought to consult very many persons besides the bankers, but because they do not necessarily comprehend the business of the country, notwithstanding they are indispensable servants of it and may do a vast deal to make it hard or easy. No mere bankers' plan will meet the requirements, no matter how honestly conceived. It should be a merchants and farmers' plan as well. elastic in the hands of those who use it as an indispensable part of their

daily business. In dealing with the Philippines we should not allow ourselves to stand upon any mere point of pride as if, in order to keep our countenance in the families of nations, it were necessary for us to make the same blunders of Philippine Islands. We hold them in trust for the people who live in them. They are theirs for the uses of their life. We are not even their partners. It is our duty as trustees to make whatever arrangement of government will be most serviceable to their freedom and development. Here again we are to set up the rule of justice and of cial interest whatever. It must have

Presidential Primaries.

phrase. Those who believe in it-as who does not that has caught the real | that it was not free, that it was entanspirit of America?-believe that there gled, and they are turning to us becan be no rule of right without it; cause they deem us free to serve them. that right in politics is made up of We should go into this campaign the interests of everybody, and every- confident of only one thing-confident dy should take part in the action that is to determine it. We have been with the government. It is not a partikeen for presidential primaries and san fight we are entering upon. We the direct election of United States are happily excused from personal atsenators because we wanted the ac- tacks upon opponents and from all gention of the government to be determined by persons whom the people had posed to us. The facts are patent to actually designated as men whom they everybody; we do not have to prove were ready to trust and follow. We have been anxious that all campaign nents admit them. Our thinking must contributions and expenditures should be constructive from start to finish, be disclosed to the public in fullest detail because we regarded the influ- problems that confront us and that we ences which govern campaigns to be are soberly minded to deal with them, as much a part of the people's business as anything else connected with their government. We are working toward a very definite object, the universal partnership in public affairs upon which the purity of politics and

its aim and spirit depend. I do not know any greater question than that of conservation. We have been a spendthrift nation and must now husband what we have left. We must do more than that. We must develop as well as preserve our water powers and must add great waterways to the transportation facilities of the nation to supplement the railways within our borders as well as upon the isthmus. We must revive our merchant marine, too, and fill the seas again with our own fleets. We must add to our present postoffice service a parcels post as complete as that of any other nation. We must look to the health of our people upon every hand as well as hearten them with justice and opportunity. This is the constructive work of government. This is the policy that has a vision and a hope and that looks to serve mankind.

There are many sides to these great matters. Conservation is easy to generalize about, but hard to particularize about wisely. Reservation is not the whole of conservation. The development of great states must not be stayed indefinitely to await a policy by which our forests and water powers can prudently be made use of. Use and development must go hand in hand. The policy we adopt must be progressive-not negative merely, as if we did not know what to do.

Improving Our Rivers.

With regard to the development of greater and more numerous waterways and the building up of a merchant marine, we must follow great constructive lines and not fall back upon the cheap device of bounties and subsidies. In the case of the Mississippi river, that dent I would seek counsel wherever great central artery of our trade, it is plain that the federal government must build and maintain the levees and keep tion which nominated me; I know the the great waters in harness for the temper of the country that lay back general use.

The question of a merchant marine turns back to the tariff again, to which all roads seem to lead, and to our reg-Istry laws, which, if coupled with the tariff, might almost be supposed to have been intended to take the Ameri- God and will take courage.

everything done for the workingman, necessary if you will but undo some of the things that have been done. concession yielded to keep him from Without a great merchant marine we cannot take our rightful place in the commerce of the world. Merchants who must depend upon the carriers of play if we are to act like statesmen, rival mercantile nations to carry their goods to market are at a disadvantage in interna... aal trade too man fest to need to be pointed out, and our merthey must be distinguished from the chants will not long suffer themselves -ought not to suffer themselves-to be placed at such a disadvantage. Our industries have expanded to such a point that they will burst their jackets If they cannot find a free outlet to the markets of the world, and they cannot find such an outlet unless they be given ships of their own to carry their goods-ships that will go the routes they want them to go-and pref the interests of America in their Liling orders and their equipment. Our domestic markets no longer suffice. We need foreign markets. That is another force that is going to break the tariff down. The tariff was once a bulwark; now it is a dam. For trade is reciprocal; we cannot sell unless we also buy.

The very fact that we have at last taken the Panama canal seriously in hand and are vigorously pushing it toward completion is eloquent of our reawakened interest in international trade. We are not building the canal and pouring out million upon million of money upon its construction merely to establish a water connection between the two coasts of the continent, important and desirable as that may be, particularly from the point of view of naval defense. It is meant to be a great international highway. It would be a little ridiculous if we should build it and then have no ships to send through it. There have been years when not a single ton of freight passed through the great Suez canal in an American bottom, so empty are the seas of our ships and seamen.

Industrial Education.

There is another duty which the Democratic party has shown itself great enough and close enough to the people to perceive, the duty of government to share in promoting agricultural, industrial, vocational education in every way possible within its conselfishness that other nations have stitutional powers. No other platform made. We are not the owners of the has given this intimate vision of a party's duty. Education is part of the great task of conservation, part of the task of renewal and of perfected power.

We have set ourselves a great program, and it will be a great party that carries it out. It must be a party without entangling alliances with any spethe spirit and the point of view of the new age. Men are turning away from The rule of the people is no idle the Republican party as organized under its old leaders because they found

eral indictments against the men opthem; the more frank among our oppo-We must show that we understand the applying to them not nostrums and notions, but hard sense and good courage.

A Government For Public Good. A presidential campaign may easily

degenerate into a mere personal contest and so lose its real dignity and significance. There is no indispensable man. The government will not collapse and go to pieces if any one of the gentlemen who are seeking to be intrusted with its guidance should be left at home. But men are instruments. We are as important as the cause we represent, and in order to be important must really represent a cause. What is our cause? The people's cause? That is easy to say, but what does it mean? The common as against any particular interest whatever? Yes, but that, too, needs translation into acts and policies. We represent the desire to set up an unentagled government, a government that cannot be used for private purposes, either in the field of business or in the field of politics; a government that will not tolerate the use of the organization of a great party to serve the personal aims and ambitions of any individual and that will not permit legislation to be employed to further any private interest. It is a great conception, but I am free to serve it, as you also are. I could not have accepted a nomination which left me bound to any man or any group of men. No man can be just who is not free, and no man who has to show favors ought to undertake the solemn responsibility of government in any rank or post whatever, least of all in the suprame post of president of the United States. To be free is not necessarily to be

wise. But wisdom comes with counsel, with the frank and free conference of untrammeled men united in the common interest. Should I be intrusted with the great office of presiit could be had upon free terms. I know the temper of the great convenof that convention and spoke through it. I heed with deep thankfulness the message you bring me from it. I feel that I am surrounded by men whose principles and ambitions are those of true servants of the people. I thank